IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

WRIT PETITION NO : 1592 of 1995

Between:

Setwin Employees and Workers Union, Regd.B.1259 rep. by its Working President Sri. P.Subbarao,
son of kondaiah, Hindu, residing at Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Secretary, Finance and Planning (FW.PC.1) Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
2 The Secretary to Government, Industries and Commerce Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
3 The Managing Director, Setwin, Purani - Haveli, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction, one in the nature of Mandamus, declaring the inaction on the part of the respondents in not extending the benefits of G.O.(P) 162 Finance and Planning dated 20.05.1993 pertaining to the Revision of Pay Scales of 1993 to the employees annexed to this petition, who are the members of the petitioners Union and the employees of Setwin, having granted the interim relief pending finalization of the Pay Revision Commissioner, Recommendation, and having received the letter from the Chief Secretary dated 17.11.1993, is illegal, arbitrary, capricious and malafide; and pass such further or other orders

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.M.SUBBA REDDY

Counsel for respondents 1 & 2: G.P. for Finance & Planning

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: MR K.RAMUNJA CHARI

The Court made the following :

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

WRIT PETITION 1592 OF 1995

ORAL ORDER:

It is represented on behalf of Sri M.Subba Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioners that the relief sought for in this writ petition has already been granted by the respondents and as such the writ petition has become infructuous.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed as infructuus. No costs.

_____

7-7-2005

asp

To :

1 The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Secreatary, Finance and Planning (FW.PC.1) Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
2 The Secretary to Government, Industries and Commerce Department, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
3 The Managing Director, Setwin, Purani - Haveli, Hyderabad.

4. Two C.D. copies

5. Two C.Cs. to the G.P. for Finance & Planning, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)